

I oppose loosening the rules designed to promote and protect diversity of media ownership. These rules were adopted to ensure that the public would receive a diverse range of viewpoints from the media, and not simply the opinions of a handful of media conglomerates. The cable ownership

cap is a crucial element of our democratic media, and it should not be weakened.

Cable connection into homes not only brings video entertainment, but is the crucial (high speed) internet connection for a large number of households.

It is indeed scary to think that a few people in the country will get to decide what we can or cannot watch, in addition have the same people decide what we can or cannot access on the internet.

I got a first hand taste of such monopolistic problems during the recent @Home & AT&T internet connection debacle.

My internet connection was out for more than a week , I work from home so this connection is of utmost importance to me. Now AT&T has decided to limit my download speed .

I would strongly urge the FCC reconsider it's decision about allowing the crucila pipeline to the modern day home from being controlled by so few.

This would mean the end of variety. These companies can charge whatever they want.